

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. SOX 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/519,327	03/06/2000	Upendra V. Chaudhari	YOR-2000-0006	8814
35195	7590 08/21/2003			•
FERENCE & ASSOCIATES 400 BROAD STREET		EXAMINER		
PITTSBURGH			ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2654	lo
			DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/519,327	CHAUDHARI ET A	AL.
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Angela A. Armstror	· 1	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover s	heet with the correspondence ad	dress
THE - External exte	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however ly within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIX e, cause the application to b	or, may a reply be timely filed um of thirty (30) days will be considered timely (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this collection ecome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>y.</i> ommunication.
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	<u>March 2003</u> .		
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	nis action is non-fina	ıl.	
3)☐ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under ion of Claims	ance except for form Ex parte Quayle, 19	nal matters, prosecution as to th 935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is
4)🖂	Claim(s) 3-11 and 14-23 is/are pending in the	application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considerati	on.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 3-11 and 14-23 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requireme	ent.	
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) 🔲 -	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)⊡ objected	to by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held i	n abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) 🔲 🗀	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)⊡ approved	b) disapproved by the Examine	er.
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re		n.	
12) 🔲 🗀	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U	J.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been receive	ed.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been receive	ed in Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		application)
a)) \square The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application	has been received.	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	
Attachment				
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(stice of Informal Patent Application (PTC) her:	
S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Paper No.	' b

٥

Application/Control Number: 09/519,327

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims 3-6 and 14-17 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to O'Shaughnessy. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U. S. C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U. S. C. 103 (c) and potential 35 U. S. C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U. S. C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/519,327

Art Unit: 2654

- 4. Claims 3-11 and 14-23 are rejected under 35 U.S. C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Picone et al (US Patent No. 5,293,452) in view of Setlur et al (US Patent No. 5,717,826) in further view of O'Shaughnessy (Speech Communication: Human and Machine, 1987).
- 5. As per claims 3-6, 14-17, and 23, Picone et al disclose an apparatus for verifying verbal utterances comprising:

a target password generator which generates at least one target password, (see col. 4, lines 43-51);

an acceptance arrangement, which compares a verbal utterance to at least one target password, (see col. 4, lines 58-62, and Fig. 1, item 30).

Picone et al fail to explicitly teach a system comprising an arrangement, which compares text based on a verbal utterance to at least one target password sentence. However, this feature is well known in the art.

In a similar field of endeavor, Setlur et al discloses a voice verification system comprising arrangement, which compares text based on a verbal utterance to at least one target password sentence (see col. 2, lines 64-66 and col.3, lines 4-8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Setlur et al teachings of acceptance/rejection arrangement in the Picone et al system, for the purpose of allowing for reliable and secure automatic access to restricted system such as remote databases while reducing verification error rate, as suggested by Setlur.

Picone fails to specifically teach the decoder being adapted to transform a verbal utterance into decoded text via employing the finite state grammar to modify the verbal

Application/Control Number: 09/519,327

Art Unit: 2654

utterance. However, transforming a verbal utterance into decoded text via employing the finite state grammar to modify the verbal utterance was well known in the art.

In a similar field of endeavor, at page 468-470, O'Shaughnessy teaches networks for speech recognition implementing dynamic programming, an acoustic analyzer to generate a segment string which is used by other systems to refine or modify the string until a text sentence is ready to be output.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the utterance verification system of Picone to implement the grammar network system as taught by O'Shaughnessy, for the purpose of providing efficient and accurate recognition and improved utterance verification.

As per claims 7 and 18, Picone et al disclose a system wherein said target password generator is adapted to accept prompted text corresponding to at least one password, (see col. 4, lines 36-51).

As per claims 8 and 19, Picone et al disclose a system wherein said target password generator is adapted to automatically generates at least one password as a baseform that is derived form an acoustic enrollment, (see col. 2, lines 9-10; col. 4, lines 36-51).

As per claims 9-11, 20-22, Picone et al disclose a system wherein said acceptance arrangement is adapted to derive a match score based on comparing... (see Fig. 1, "scores").

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-11 and 14-23 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/519,327

Art Unit: 2654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 703-308-6258. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Angela A. Armstrong Examiner Art Unit 2654

AAA May 22, 2003

Marshar. Bork Haved SPE 2654